



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/721,000 | . (| 01/03/2001 | Bertil Brandin | P00.1982 3129 | |
| 30596 | 7590 | 01/20/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| HARNESS | , DICKE | Y & PIERCE, P.L | SHECHTMAN, SEAN P | | |
| P.O.BOX 89 | 10 | • | | | |
| RESTON, V | /A 20195 | 5 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2125 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| 6) 5 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 2 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/721,000 | BRANDIN ET AL. | | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | <u>-</u> | | | | |
| | Sean P. Shechtman | 2125 | _ | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverte, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 December 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clain | ms. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | etion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | | | | | | |
| L-P.P | OULTURISONY | PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | |

Continuation of 10. Other: Although the proposed amendment does not place the case in condition for allowance, the proposed amendments to the specification do overcome the objections to the drawings. Therefore, the proposed amendments to the specification, not including the proposed amendments to the claims, would be approved for entry at the time of appeal.